with JOHN CAUDWELL conference

Four years ago, I sat on the quay-side at Barcelona's yacht-cluttered port and interviewed mobile phones tycoon John Caudwell. We got on famously and later knocked back bottles of San Miguel and Rioja on his Sunseeker before I boarded an Easyjet flight back to more familiar

altitudes.

Today, I am sitting across his basic mahogany-veneer desk at the Phones4U HQ, a converted mill in Stoke-on-Trent, and I am a total stranger to him. Our previous meeting is as dead in his memory as a mobile on the bed of the Med. Nada. Well, I feel it's always important to make a hig impression.

To be fair, Caudwell is generally bad on remembering faces and it's hardly surprising given the break-neck pace at which he charges through life, Since 1987, he has been frenetically building his fortune and is Britain's fastest billionaire with, apparently, £1.6 billion and the No. 29 slot on *The Sunday Times* Rich List. And when he's not expanding the business - currently up for sale — he cycles across

countries for his children's charity. Now 53, Caudwell has all the trimmings that come with the tycoon tag: the sprawling mansion, transport machines for all surfaces and an expectedly complicated family structure: five children with three women.

A one-time Michelin repair man, Caudwell has a tough image in the press, born from being a straight-talking and highly competitive go-getter who pushes himself and his staff to the limits. The last time we met, his powerful frame was barechested, so he clamped the vice-like crocodile clip of my mic to his right nipple. Today he is in a sober black and I sense he has deliberately clicked himself into a low gear for our chat — and he can barely wait to get back to full throttle.

There seems to be a recurring theme in your press that gives the impression you are a bit of a hard nut. How de you feel about that?

Perhaps historically I have been seen as too abrasive in some of my approaches and the papers can only feed off what image I allow to be created. With my employees, I have always tried to create a meritocracy where good people are properly rewarded and poor people are let go. I can be hard driving but if I am paying a top manager, say £500,000 a year, then I am going to expect value. Sometimes I am a bit too fast to criticise but I like to see people being successful and the retention of my employees.

especially at the senior level — over the long term is



endous. It is very much a team effort where

everyone is winning.

I think people are probably a bit confused about
me from my press. Everybody knows I do a huge
amount of charity work, so they see that soft,

benevolent side.

Then there is the harsher side of the press when they accuse me of being a bully. I was even called a Victorian mill owner by some union leader, which gets repeated.

You hit the headlines when you banned your workers using email. How do you feel about the way that was handled by the papers? That was fascinating. I was very happy with that, because it ended up being quite controversial. I got so many chief executives ringing up asking me how could they do the same thing.

The story was a bit misunderstood. I am not and never was completely against personal email. What I was against was the cancerous misuse of email all the chit-chat and distribution lists in particular — which led to inefficiency. This was mainly about business emails where we were not focused or efficient. We cut that out and have consistently performed better and the savings in terms of time saved is probably in tens of milliators.

For me, the net result from that story was that some people thought I was a createpor and assumed I was completely auxiliant. On the other side, I had people aying, What a great thing to do, I wish I had the balls to do to.

JOHN'S NEWS SCHEDULE

Newspapers
We live out in the sticks and can't get a paper delivered, but I am not in the habit of reading a paper each day, I am always up at 5.30am and mostly cycle to work (14 miles and 45 mins each way) and my day is so full I rarely have a spare minute. I might life, though some headlines and my PR department will draw my attention to anything I should read. I scan the FT a lew times a week and I read The Sentinel. I usually get The Stunday Times and dip into whatever section takes my interest. Even then, I have to be very selective because of time. I virtually never have a minute to waste.



What do you think of the Sunday Times Rich List and the validity of its estimations?

When I was first on the List — about seven, eight years ago— it was like, Wow, you have made it. I had come from nothing, I had been penniless, so it was quite a significant landmank, but it is actually not that important to me any more. As I have become more wealthy, then wealth has been less important. Much more important is what good can I do, what can I contribute to society. If I die tomorrow and my epitaph says: "Here lies John Candwell, nor of Britant's billionaires" — well, that would be dreadful. If it says: "Here lies one of the greatest British entrepeneurs, who then used his skills to help society" — great. So, that is my sole objective for the remaining part of my life. I am not going to be so concerned with making money— it had so my believe the sole of the so

Erm, so making £1,600 million is hollow? It might save some people a lot of bother if you would explain that... Actually, no, it is not hollow! It is quite exciting and if I were broke now, then making money would drive me — but I haven't got that much anyway.

So, is the Sunday Times list just guesswork?
Well, I won't be worth \$1,600 million, so it is wrond about me. Given that they don't know all the detail, they are never going to get it spot on, but it was not totally unrealistic assessment. Generally, they base wealth on share prices, but I am not public, so even I don't know what my shares are worth.

John Caudwell's

What would be the headline you most dread?
"Cycling, yachting and flying to be banned alongside fox hunting".

What question would you never answer? In principle I answer most things upfront, but sometimes you can't...

Web
The net is fantastic, but I avoid doing it myself
because it is too time-consuming waiting for websites
to come up, so I get my PH to search for me — she
is my brain. When the pages are ready I vill quickly
look, then ask het to get another site while I make
some calls. There is always someoned in lend to speak
to so I let her get on with it. I look at the weather on
bloccub for when I am Bjing and easyjet.com if
I am organising a family holiday.

So do you know what you are worth?
I know I have a few million in assets, which is not a huge amount, but I won't know what the company is worth until I sell it.

Alan Sugar says he can tell who is really rich by how big a cheque they can write. He can sign one for £100 million without breaking sweat. How about you?
Lucky, Alan. But no way could I write a cheque for £20, £10 or even £5 million, because all the value is in the company.

So, what is the biggest cheque you could write? I would be embarrassed to say, so I am not going to tell you. It varies and sometimes it is not every much. The reality is, I live a pretry good life, I am not short of money ever, but it is irrelevant because I don't want to write those cheques!

want to write those cheques!

Sticking with money, there are always inconsistent details in articles about your luxury toys. What is the accurate line up? (Laughs) Yes, they say all sorts. The truth of it is that I have one old aeroplane from 1980, which have one old astroplane from 1980, which have one old astroplane from 1980, which have one of the strength of t

You did an interview with the Sunday Mirror to offset a kiss-and-tell by an ex-girlfriend. If anything it seemed to backfire. What happened? That was my fault. I was in a very emotional situation and said too much. That was a big reger and, if I had my time again, I would not do it. It was a failure on my part and it is very rare that I don't control my emotions. With that particular article, I was in a state, so it was not an interview! should have done. It was just wrong and I was foolish for allowing myself to be pushed into it.

You had a run-in with your local evening paper in Stoke, The Sentinel. Can you give me the background to that?

First of all, I must say that we have a great relationship at the moment and I have no issue with the paper. I have been getting some favourable press, but about five years ago they did something that really irritated me badly. It was an injustice and I despise injustice.

call 01782 600005.

I did an interview about the difficulty I had recruiting skilled electrical engineers for our repair shop. It was a very measured, balanced article about the need for better engineering training in the Stoke area. I was completely happy with the piece, but it was the headline and the photo. They used a picture of me with my arms folded, looking really pissed off with the front-page headline; VOU ARE NOT GOOD ENOUGH. That photo had been taken ages before to do with some litigation I had with Bentley for a bad car they had sold me. That pose was purely for that other story. And the headline meant the whole city of Stoke-on-Tent thought I had said they whole city of Stoke-on-Tent thought I had said they whole city of Stoke-on-Tent thought I had said they many people would have then read the article in depth and realised I didn't say that? The headline and picture are 95 per cent of what people remember.

depth and realised I didn't say that? The headline and picture are 95 per cent of what people remember.

What were the ramifications of that story and how did it pan out with the newspaper?

I complained bitterly and asked them to retract it, but I couldn't get any co-operation. I did go to the Press Complaints Commission, but I don't know the actual details of what went on because my PR art betime way satisfaction. What disappointed me most was when The Sentinel wouldn't print a retraction I said, "All you have to do is put in an apology and say the headline was wrong," But I couldn't get them to do anything, which I thought was grossly unfair because it was crystal clear that it was blatant missepresentation. It had been a perfectly pleasant interview, then they reserved as an orge.

It was unfair and it had a negative effect on my family life for a while. My wife got threatened and buillied in the queue at Tesco's. Someone was cursing and swearing at her, and it really upset her. It was very unpleasant. To treat someone like that is wrong, I didn't get amyone saying anything to me—I'm a big guy and I can take care of myself—but from that story there was antangonism which showed up in different ways from people who would otherwise be on side. And I topotentially served to further enhance the negative image. First you get the bully, then the Victoriam mild women, then you get me supposedly saying: "You are not good enough." It just helps to perpetuate a pitcure that is a completely wrong one.

Is a local paper and its readership important? Is a local paper and its readership important? Yes, absolutely. That story marked the start of a low ebb in our relationship with The Sentind, which was a bit ridiculous because we are a business that has generated many thousands of jobs in Stoke So, we are important to the city and, equally, The Sentinel is important to the city and, equally, The Sentinel is important to me and my company. But we have enhanced the communications now and all is well. I won't get all things written the way I want, but that is life. They have written a lot about my charity recently, which I am grateful for me. I am well known that the start of the start